

2017





Adult Occupant



74%

Child Occupant



Safety Assist

78%

Pedestrian



48%



25%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Citroën e-Mehari, LHD
Body Type	- 3 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2017
Kerb Weight	1483kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all e-Meharis
Class	Small Family Car

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	×
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	×	×	×
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	×
Side chest airbag	•	•	×
Side pelvis airbag	×	×	×



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	_	×	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch		×	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	×
AEB Pedestrian	×
AEB City	×
AEB Inter-Urban	×
Speed Assistance System	×
Lane Assist System	×

Note: Other equipment r	nay be available on the	vehicle but was not	considered in the test year.

Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
-----------------------------------	--

O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 28.2 Pts / 74%


POOR






WEAK











Total 28.2 Pts / 74%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the e-Mehari remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. However, structures in the dashboard were considered a risk to the knees and femurs of occupants of different statures and to those sat in different positions, and the score was penalised. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of all critical parts of the body was good or adequate for both the driver and the rear passenger. In the side barrier impact, protection of all critical body areas was good and the e-Mehari scored maximum points. In the more severe side pole impact, dummy readings of rib compression indicated marginal protection of the chest, but that of the rest of the body was good. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated marginal whiplash protection in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated poor whiplash protection there.



Total 38.4 Pts / 78%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.1 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Romer KidFix XP*Restraint for 10 year old child: *Fisher Price* **Safety Features**

4 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard
Isofix	×	•
i-Size	×	•
Integrated CRS	×	×

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

🗶 Not available

CRS Installation Check

11.3 Pts

Install without problem
Install with care
Safety critical problem
Installation not allowed

i-Size CRS











Total 38.4 Pts / 78%

ISOFIX CRS









Universal Belted CRS













Total 38.4 Pts / 78%

	Seat Position		
	Front 2nd row		row
	PASSENGER	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)		•	
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)		•	
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)		•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)		•	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)		×	×
Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)		•	•
Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)		•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	•	•	•
Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•
Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	•	•	•

Install without problem

Install with care

Safety critical problem

★ Installation not allowed

Comments

In the frontal offset test, protection of the chest of the 6-year dummy was rated as marginal while that of all other body areas was good or adequate for both dummies. In the side barrier test, protection of all critical body regions was rated as good. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. However, the information provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag is not sufficiently clear, and the system was not rewarded. Accordingly, rearward-facing restraints failed the installation check for the front passenger seat. In addition, the owner's manual indicates that ISO/R3 restraints are not suitable for the rear outboard seats. Otherwise, restraints could be properly installed and accommodated.





Total 20.3 Pts / 48%



Pedestrian Protection

Head Impact
9.3 Pts

Pelvis Impact
6 Pts


Leg Impact
5 Pts

AEB Pedestrian 0 Pts

Comments

The protection provided by the bonnet to the head of a struck pedestrian was no better than adequate and was poor in many test areas. Protection of pedestrians' legs was predominantly adequate while that of the pelvis was good.





LDW Confirmation Test

Fail





Total 3 Pts / 25%

Comments

The e-Mehari as a seatbelt reminder system for the front and rear seats. Otherwise, it has no driver assistance features that qualify for assessment by Euro NCAP.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	DrivetrainRating Applie		
			LHD	RHD
3 door hatchback	50kW electric*	4 x 2	✓	N/A

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date Event Outcome November 2017 Rating Published





^{*} Tested variant